



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,468	08/20/2003	Michael D. Kobrehel	DUR-105	8508
23570 7590 05/27/2009 PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 41 SOUTH HIGH STREET 28TH FLOOR COLUMBUS, OH 43215				
EXAMINER				
A. PHU DIEU TRAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3633				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/27/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/646,468

Applicant(s)

KOBREHEL ET AL.

Examiner

PHI D. A

Art Unit

3633

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 February 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 8, 10-12 and 15-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3, 7-12, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The new limitations of "said outer lip forms an opening of smaller size than the second glazing panel....said second perimeter channel is wider than a thickness of said second glazing panel when the first glazing panel is located in the first perimeter channel and ...a mouth of the second perimeter channel is wider than a bottom of the second perimeter channel when said first glazing panel is located in said first perimeter channel and said second glazing panel is located in said second perimeter channel" is not supported in the original specification and original drawings. The elected specie of figure 3 also does not show the claimed limitations.

The claims are examined as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 18, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

“when the when the” is confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caplette (6012257) in view of Cross et al (2258973) and Bush (2591048)

Caplette (figure 2) shows a first glazing panel (10), a second plastic glazing panel (12, col 5 line 55), a retainer frame (14), a seal assembling (26, 27, 20, 61) secured to the retainer frame and forming a first perimeter channel receiving said first glazing panel (10) therein and a second perimeter channel parallel to and spaced apart from the first perimeter channel and receiving the second glazing panel therein, a retainer of the seal assembly (figure 3) includes an inner wall and an outer lip(29) spaced apart from the inner wall to form the second perimeter channel, the outer lip defining an opening of smaller size than said second glazing panel so as to retain the panel in the second perimeter channel, the second perimeter channel is wider than a thickness of the second glazing panel (figure 3) when said first glazing panel is located in the first perimeter channel and said second glazing panel is located in said second perimeter channel, a mouth

(figure 4 shows the mouth starting from the top of the lip 29 being wider) of said second perimeter channel is wider than a bottom of the second perimeter channel when the first glazing panel is located in said first perimeter channel and said second glazing panel is located in said second perimeter channel, said glazing panels are sized and shaped and said glazing panel is sufficiently thin and flexible so that said glazing panel is able to be easily bowed so as to allow opposite edges of the glazing panel to be drawn together sufficiently to be able to be passed by the lips of opposite sections of the perimeter channel and allow another edge of the glazing panel to be received in a receiving channel section extending along the second perimeter channel while the seal assembly is secured to the retainer frame, the perimeter channel and said lip are each located entirely outside the retainer frame (14).

Caplette does not show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section, the outer lip is shorter than the inner wall.

Cross et al shows a receiving channel section (figure 9 the channel where part 8 is) being deeper than an opposite channel section to enable the easy and secured mounting of the glazing panel in the channels.

Bush shows the outer lip being shorter than the inner wall.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Caplette's structure to show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section because it would allow for the easy and secured mounting of the glazing panel in the channels as taught by Cross et al, and the outer lip being shorter than the

inner wall as taught by Bush to in order to provide for a shield that is secured and yet easily removed from its channel.

3. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-12, 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (5131194) in view of Bargados et al, Bush (2591048) and Cross et al (2258973).

Anderson (figure 2) shows a plastic glazing panel (11 or 12) comprising a first glazing panel, a second glazing panel of transparent plastic, a retainer frame (120), a seal assembling (13, 31, 57) secured to the retainer frame forming a first perimeter channel receiving a first glazing panel and a second perimeter channel parallel to and spaced apart from the first channel and receiving the second glazing panel therein, a retainer of the seal assembly includes an inner wall and an outer lip spaced apart from the inner wall, the second channel is wider than a thickness of the second glazing panel when the first glazing panel is located in said first perimeter channel and said second glazing panel is located in said second perimeter channel, a mouth of the second perimeter channel is wider than a bottom of the second perimeter channel when the first glazing panel is located in the first perimeter channel and said glazing panel is located in said second perimeter channel (the beginning of the lip forming a channel wider together with the wall forming a channel wider than the panel), wherein sections of the channel each have a lip (figure 2, parts that extend beyond the frame 120)) that together defining an opening of smaller size than said glazing opening and said panel, so as to retain the panel in the perimeter channel, wherein the retainer frame(120), said sections, said glazing panel is sufficiently thin and flexible so that said glazing panel is able to be easily bowed so as to allow opposite edges of the glazing panel to be drawn together sufficiently to be able to be passed by the lips of opposite sections of the perimeter channel and allow another edge of the glazing panel to be received in a receiving

channel section extending along the glazing opening while the seal assembly is secured to the retainer frame, wherein the receiving channel section having a resiliently compressible element (81') disposed therein allowing sufficient movement upon pushing of another edge of the glazing panel thereagainst so that the glazing panel edge opposite the another glazing panel edge clears the lip of the opposite channel section allowing removal of the glazing panel, but thereafter upon release causes the glazing panel to be repositioned to locate the another edge of the panel at an intermediate depth in the receiving channel section, the element being a bow leaf spring disposed in the bottom of the receiving channel, the receiving channel section is at the bottom of the glazing opening, and further including a positioner element (81') selectively manipulatable to allow lowering of the glazing panel and thereafter hold the glazing panel another edge at an intermediate position in the channel section so that the opposite edge of the panel does not clear the lip of the channel section opposite the receiving channel section, the positioner element comprising a compressible element able to be compressed by pushing the panel another edge thereagainst, and thereafter the glazing panel is released moving the opposite edge of the glazing panel into the one channel section opposite the receiving channel section, a primary glazing panel (12) installed in the frame adjacent the glazing panel and aligned therewith but spaced to one side, the glazing panel being thinner and made of plastic to comprise a sacrificial glazing panel (inherently can be sacrificial panel), the glazing panel is sufficiently thin and flexible to enable insertion and removal of the glazing panel into and out of the channel sections without deforming the retainer frame (col 2 lines 1-4 discloses the pane being flexible; as the panes are flexible, they certainly can function as claimed when installed), the lip forming said opposite channel section being angled so that said opposite channel section is wider at the lip opening

than at the glazing opening (the glazing opening is narrowed by the parts 72-77), the second glazing panel does not elastically deform the inner wall and the outer lip of said second perimeter channel when the second glazing panel is located in the second perimeter channel, the inner wall does engage the first glazing panel, a seal fixed to the inner wall engages the first glazing panel (the seal being the parts 75, 76 that engages the first panel 11), the first glazing panel elastically deforms the seal when the first glazing panel is in the first perimeter channel but the second glazing panel does not elastically deform the inner wall and the outer lip of said second channel when the second panel is located in the second channel.

Anderson does not show the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section, the lip together does not define an opening smaller than that of the glazing opening and the panel, the perimeter channel and the lip are each located entirely outside the retainer frame, the outer lip being shorter than the wall.

Bargados et al (figures 2-3) shows the lip that defines an opening for a glazing panel being smaller than that of the glazing opening and the panel (figure 3, see part 64), the perimeter channel and the lip are each located entirely outside the retainer frame (14).

Cross et al shows a receiving channel section (figure 9, the channel where part 8 is) being deeper than an opposite channel section to enable the easy and secured mounting of the glazing panel in the channels.

Bush shows the outer lip being shorter than the wall.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Anderson's structure to show the receiving channel section being deeper

than an opposite channel section because it would allow for the easy and secured mounting of the glazing panel in the channels as taught by Cross et al, and having the lip together defining an opening smaller than that of the glazing opening and the panel, the perimeter channel and the lip are each located entirely outside the retainer frame as taught by Bargados et al since it would enable the quick assembly and strong sealing attachment of the glazing to the retainer frame, and having the outer lip shorter than the inner wall would provide for a shield that is secured and yet easily removed from its channel.

Anderson as modified shows the receiving channel section being deeper than an opposite channel section so that upon insertion of said another edge of the glazing panel and movement towards the bottom of the receiving channel section, edge of the glazing panel opposite said another edge clears said lip of said opposite channel section which is shallower than said receiving channels section to enable insertion and removal of the glazing panel into and out of the glazing opening while said channel sections remain within the retainer frame (see also column 4 lines 65-68 to column 5 lines 1-7; column 5 lines 60-68 further discloses that various components of the window may be disassembled in the event that repair or maintenance is require by simply reversing the steps; the components may be sold in either partially assembled form or in a kit application form;it is apparent that the window panes may be inserted into the respective recesses of the gasket....."Likewise, the gasket itself is inserted into an appropriate recess in a window frame by compression of the gasket and sliding into the recess...." which also means the panes have to be inserted into the gasket next).

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (5131194) in view of Bargados et al, Bush and Cross et al (2258973) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Gasteiger (3720026).

Anderson as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the receiving channel section is at top of the glazing opening, and the bottom edge of the glazing panel rests on a bottom of the channel opposite the receiving channel.

Gasteiger discloses receiving channel section (figure 2, channel with spring 40) being at top of the frame opening, and the bottom edge of the panel rests on a bottom of the opposite channel section.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Anderson's modified structures to show the receiving channel section is at top of the glazing opening, and the bottom edge of the glazing panel rests on a bottom of the opposite channel section because it would allow for the easy and secured mounting of the panel in the channels as taught by Gasteiger.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-12, 15-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to applicant's statements to Caplette and Anderson, the references show the newly added limitations as set forth above. The rejections are proper as set forth above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Phi D A/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3633

Phi Dicu Tran A

27/05/095/25/09